

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,538	10/14/2003		Norbert Hessbruggen	22661	9499
535	7590	07/09/2004		EXAM	IINER
THE FIRM	OF KAF	RL F ROSS	ROSS, DANA		
5676 RIVER		VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO BOX 900 PIVERDALE (RRONY) NY 10471-0900				3722	

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/686,538	HESSBRUGGEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dana Ross	3722
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin itiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matt	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contact of the conta	are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>14 Oct 2003</u>. 	/08) 5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) —.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "workpiece changer" of claim 12 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,538 Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The specification is inconsistent in its reference to parts of Applicant's invention. For example: Page 7, line 8, refers to "workpiece carriers 6 and 7". Page 7, lines 21-22 refer to "the workpiece holders 6 and 7". It is not clear what the reference numbers 6 and 7 is referencing. It is also noted that the Summary of the Invention, pages 2-6, refers to the "transverse slide" (page 3, line 15-16, for example) and "longitudinal slide" (page 3, line 16, for example). The Specific Description, pages 6-11, refer to the "transverse carriages 13 and 14" (page 7, line 18, for example) and "longitudinal carriages 11 and 12" (page 7, lines 14-15, for example).

The above is not meant to be all-inclusive. Applicant should review the specification to ensure consistent use of terminology within the disclosure, and between the disclosure and claim language.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,538 Page 4

Art Unit: 3722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 1 claims both "two workpieces carriers" (line 8) and "workpiece holders" (line 10). The specification, Page 7, line 8-9, discloses "workpiece carriers 6 and 7" and further refers to "two workpiece holders 6 and 7" in line 23. It cannot be determined from the disclosure or the drawings what is being claimed as to the "workpiece carriers" and "workpiece holders".

Claim 3, line 4, states "said cross slides each having a longitudinal slide". It is not clear from the specification if the "longitudinal slide" claimed is the "longitudinal carriages 11 and 12" on Page 7, lines 14-15. It is not clear what is being claimed by the term "longitudinal slide".

Claim 4, line 2, states "said cross slide comprises a transverse slide on the respective longitudinal slide". It is not clear from the specification if this is the "transverse carriages 13 and 14 which are guided on vertical rails 34 and 35" on Page 7, lines 19-22. It is also noted that the term "transverse slides" is referred to in Claim 4, line 6. It is not clear what is being claimed by the phrase "transverse slides".

Application/Control Number: 10/686,538 Page 5

Art Unit: 3722

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 claims both "two workpieces carriers" (line 8) and "workpiece holders" (line 10). It is not clear what the differences are between the "carriers" and "holder".

Claim 2 states the tool carrier receives a tool for "turning, milling, grinding, drilling, boring and grinding". It is noted that "grinding" is used twice in the claim. Clarification is requested on what is being claimed with regards to the two "grinding" tools.

Claim 3, line 4, states "said cross slides each having a longitudinal slide. It is not clear what is being claimed by the term "longitudinal slide".

Claim 3, line 5, recites the limitation "the other longitudinal slide". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3, line 7, it is not clear what is meant by "feet motion". It appears this should read "feed motion". Clarification is requested.

Claim 4, line 2, states "said cross slide comprises a transverse slide on the respective longitudinal slide". It is also noted that the term "transverse slides" is referred to in Claim 4, line 6. It is not clear what is being claimed by the phrase "transverse slides".

Claim 4, lines 2-3, recite the limitation "the respective longitudinal slide". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,538

Art Unit: 3722

Claims 6 and 9, line 3, recites the limitation "the respective workpiece". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 12, 15, it is not clear what is being claimed by "transverse slide".

Claim 13, it is not clear what is being claimed. Page 9, lines 15-16, of the specification states the "two longitudinal carriages 11 and 12 are structurally identical". It is not clear what is meant in claim 13, line 4, by "the lateral parts being of different length".

Claims 13, 14, 15, it is not clear what is being claimed by the term "longitudinal slides".

The above is not meant to be all-inclusive. Applicant should review all claims to ensure proper antecedent basis and claim terminology consistent with the drawings and disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 1, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 6,102,838 (Assie, hereafter referred to as '838).

'838 teaches a machine frame 300, machine M, two workpiece grippers 311 and 312 for carrying a crankshaft (workpiece), on a carriage 310, work stations S1 and S2 with outer workstations P2, P3, P4, P5, P6 (conveyor or buffer stock) (col. 5, lines 22-46, for example).

Application/Control Number: 10/686,538

Art Unit: 3722

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Ross whose telephone number is 703-305-7764. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 703-308-2159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dmr

Daniel W. Howell Primary Examiner